UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,014	09/25/2006	Petrus Jacobus Dekker	ES/4662-335	3949
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 01/22/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PAK, YONG D		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/594,014	DEKKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	YONG D. PAK	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 22-25 is/are versions.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 10-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine.  4) The specification is objected to by the Examine.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2008 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	withdrawn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  □ accepted or b)□ objected to	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a 371 of PCT/JP05/04392.

The amendment filed on November 6, 2008, amending claims 1 and 10, has been entered.

Claims 1-25 are pending. Claims 1-9 and 22-25 are withdrawn. Claims 10-21 are under consideration.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 10-20) with an election of SEQ ID NO:2 (*hdfA*) in the reply filed on November 6, 2008is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Groups II and III should be examined together because the single general inventive concept of the invention is increasing the efficiency of targeted integration of a polynucleotide in a filamentous fungal cell by steering an integration pathway towards homologous recombination, which new, and modulating the expression of HR and/or NHR components (such as *hdfA* or *hdfB*) are special technical features linked by the single general inventive concept. Although Examiner disagrees with the single general inventive concept linking Groups II and III, Group III has been rejoined with Group II. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of all pending claims would not constitute a serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the instant application is a national stage application submitted under 35 U.S.C. 371 and unity of invention (not restriction practice pursuant to 37 CFR 1.141 - 1.146) is applies. Burdensome search is not a factor in determining unity of invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-9 and 22-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 6, 2008.

# Claim for Foreign Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on September 25, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

Claim 21 recites "Method for". It appears that the article "A" is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 18 recites "*Trichodermaspecies*". It appears that a space is missing between "*Trichoderma*" and "species".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 10-21 are drawn to a mutant filamentous fungal, *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Trichoderma, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus oryzae, Penicillium chrysogenum,* or *Penicillium citrinum* cell having a preference for NHR and having a decreased ratio of NHR/HR as compared to the wildtype of said cell, wherein said mutant (A) has any mutation, (B) is deficient in a gene encoding a component involved in NHR, has a decreased level of a component involved in NHR, gene involved in NHR has been replaced by a non-functional variant, or has an increased level of a component involved in HR, and (C) is deficient in it's hdfA, hdfB, and/or homologues thereof, and a method of using said cell to produce a polypeptide of interest. Therefore, these claims encompass any all mutant filamentous fungal, any or all mutant *Aspergillus*, any or all mutant *Penicillium*, any or all mutant *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus oryzae*, *Penicillium chrysogenum*, or *Penicillium citrinum* cell having a preference for NHR and having a decreased ratio of NHR/HR as compared to the wildtype of said cell, wherein

said mutant (A) has any mutation, (B) is deficient in any gene encoding any or all component involved in NHR, has a decreased level of any or all component involved in NHR, or any gene involved in NHR replaced by any non-functional variant, (C) is deficient in hdfA, hdfB, and/or any homologues thereof, and (D) has an increased level of any or all component involved in HR and a method of using said cell to produce a polypeptide of interest. Therefore, these claims are drawn to a genus of any or all mutant filamentous fungal, any or all mutant Aspergillus, any or all mutant Penicillium, any or all mutant Trichoderma, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus oryzae, Penicillium chrysogenum, or Penicillium citrinum comprising (A) any mutation, (B) deletion/mutation of any gene involved in NHR, (C) deletion of hdfA, hdfB or homologues thereof and (D) an increased level of any component involved in HR, wherein said mutant cell has a decreased ratio of NHR/HR as compared to the wildtype of said cell. The specification describes a mutant Aspergillus niger and Penicillium chrysogenum comprising a deletion of its hdfA and/or hdfB genes, wherein said cells have a decreased ratio of NHR/HR as compared to the wildtype of said cell. However, the specification does not provide an actual reduction to practice of the claimed filamentous fungal, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum cells because the specification fails to disclose the structure of hdfA and hdfB (or their homoglos) genes in non- Aspergillus niger and Penicillium chrysogenum which must be known in order to delete/mutate said genes in the claimed filamentous fungal, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum cells, which comprises any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all

Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum, wherein its hdfA or hdfB or homologs thereof are mutated or deleted. The specification does not disclose the isolation or cloning of any non-A. niger and non-P. chrysogenum hdfA or hdfB genes. The specification does not describe any structural features of non-S. cerevisiae GCY1 and YPR1 genes that would have been expected to be shared by other yeast or other filamentous fungal, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum cells hdfA or hdfB (or homologs thereof) genes. The specification also does not describe any structural features of the claimed genus of filamentous fungus comprising an increased level of a component involved in HR. The level of knowledge and skill in the art does not allow those skilled in the art to structurally envisage or recognize any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum having a deletion or mutation of its hdfA, hdfB or homologs thereof or increased level of a component involved in HR because it is known that corresponding genes in different species tend to differ in sequence and the amount and type of sequence variation is unpredictable. Since the structure of hdfA, hdfB and homologs thereof would be expected to vary unpredictable from the structure of the A. niger and P. chrysogenum hdfA and hdfB, the disclosed A. niger and P. chrysogenum mutants comprising a deletion of its hdfA and hdfB do not constitute a representative number of species to describe the whole genus of any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum comprising a mutated or deleted hdfA, hdfB and/or homologs thereof and there is no

evidence on the record of the relationship between the structure of the disclosed mutant A. niger or P. chrysogenum and the structure of any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum comprising a deletion or mutation of its hdfA, hdfB and/or homologs thereof. Because the disclosed A. niger and P. chrysogenum mutant having a deletion of its hdfA and hdfB are not representative of the entire claimed genus, and the specification does not disclose structural features shared by members of the genus, the description of the said mutant A. niger and P. chrysogenum having a deletion of its hdfA and hdfB would not have put the application in possession of the common structural attributes or features shared by members of the genus that structurally distinguish the members of the genus from other materials at the time of filing. Thus, the description of the A. niger and P. chrysogenum mutant having a deletion of its hdfA and hdfB is not sufficient to describe the claimed genus of any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum comprising a deletion or mutation of its hdfA, hdfB and/or homologs thereof. Accordingly, the specification does not provide a representative number of species or sufficient common structural features to show that the application would have been in possession of the claimed genus as a whole at the time of fling. Therefore, the specification fails to describe a representative species of the genus comprising any or all filamentous fungus, any or all Aspergillus, any or all Penicillium, any or all Trichoderma, Aspergillus oryzae, or Penicillium citrinum comprising a deletion or mutation of its *hdfA*, *hdfB* and/or homologs thereof.

Given this lack of description of the representative species encompassed by the genus of the claims, the specification fails to sufficiently describe the claimed invention in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize that applicants were in possession of the inventions of claims 10-21.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a>.

#### Other Relevant Art

Ninomiya et al. (reference OR in the IDS filed on September 25, 2006) discloses a filamentous fungus having a mutation of its *KU70* and *KU80* (homologs of *hdfA* and *hdfB*), wherein said mutant has a decreased NHR/HR ratio but is not available as prior art because the reference was published or made known to the public after the instant invention was filed.

Ivanov (US Patent 6,569,681 - reference AR in the IDS filed on September 25, 2006) discloses deleting *KU70* and *KU80* (homologs of *hdfA* and *hdfB*), wherein said mutant has a decreased NHR/HR ratio but is not available as prior art because the reference does not teach a *KU70* and *KU80* (homologs of *hdfA* and *hdfB*) of filamentous fungal cells that prefer NHR over HR.

#### Conclusion

None of the claims are in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

/Yong D Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652